A very oligotrophic zone observed from space in the equatorial Pacific warm pool
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A B S T R A C T

The analysis of the SeaWiFS chlorophyll archive shows a quasi-persistent strip of oligotrophic waters (chl < 0.1 mg m⁻³) extending over about 20° longitude in the eastern part of the equatorial Pacific warm pool. Other space-borne data sets (scatterometric wind, microwave sea surface temperature (SST), altimetric sea level, and surface currents) were used together with barrier layer thickness derived from Argo floats to investigate the variability of the oligotrophic zone and of its eastern and western boundaries, and to propose processes that could explain why surface chlorophyll is so low in this region. The eastern limit of the oligotrophic waters matches the eastern edge of the warm pool and moves zonally both at seasonal time scale and with the El Niño/La Niña phases whereas the western limit moves mostly at intraseasonal and interannual time scales. On average, about half of the surface of the zone is occupied by very oligotrophic waters (chl < 0.07 mg m⁻³) located in the eastern part. The degree of oligotrophy of the zone increases when its width is maximum during boreal fall and winter and during El Niño events. Oligotrophy in the eastern part of the warm pool most likely persists because of the lack of vertical or horizontal penetration of nutrient-rich water due to the following processes. 1/ The equatorial oligotrophic warm pool is bounded poleward by the oligotrophic subtropical gyres. 2/ The deep nutrient pool prevents strong vertical nutrient inputs into the euphotic layer and the barrier layer above it potentially reduces the efficiency of mixing. 3/ During west-erly wind events, mesotrophic waters in the far western basin are too distant from the oligotrophic zone to be efficient nutrient and phytoplankton sources, and become nutrient and phytoplankton depleted during their eastward advection. 4/ Nutrient-rich waters from the central basin and nutrient-poor surface waters of the warm pool do not blend because of subduction at the eastern limit of the oligotrophic zone.

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The warm pool of the western tropical Pacific is a major component of the world climate machine because of strong air–sea interactions. It is often described as being enclosed by the 28 °C or 29 °C surface isotherm (Fig. 1a) and submitted to intense rainfall leading to low salinity in the mixed layer. The vertical thermo-haline structure is such that a so-called barrier layer develops between the shallow halocline and the deeper thermocline (Lukas & Lindstrom, 1991). The western tropical Pacific, and especially the eastern part of the warm pool, is one of the world ocean regions where barrier layers are almost permanent (Bosc et al., 2009; de Boyer Montégut et al., 2007). The horizontal extent of the warm pool as defined by the 29 °C isotherm displays large seasonal variations (Cravatte et al., 2009; McPhaden et al., 1998; Wyrtki, 1989). The warm pool is displaced southward during boreal winter. Its limits are around 5°N in the north, 170°E in the equatorial band, 15°S and 140°W in the southern part. During boreal summer, the warm pool shifts northward with its boundaries at 25°N, 170°W, and 10°S. The size of the warm pool also changes at interannual and longer time scales (Cravatte et al., 2009; McPhaden et al., 1998; Yan et al., 1992).

Productivity is acknowledged to be low in the warm pool (Longhurst, 2007) and there are fewer biological studies of the warm pool than of the mesotrophic waters of the central and eastern tropical Pacific. One reason that motivated investigations is that despite its oligotrophic characteristics, the warm pool contributes about 40% of the world tuna catch (Lehodey, 2001). Le Borgne et al. (2002) also stressed that estimates of the carbon budget of the equatorial Pacific and its variations were erroneous if the warm pool was neglected, because of its large extent. The northern and southern eastern regions of the warm pool are occupied by the tropical parts of the north and south subtropical gyres (Fig. 1a) with a deep nitrate-depleted surface layer and very low chlorophyll concentration (Dandonneau, 1979; Karl & Lukas, 1996; Levitus et al., 1993; McClain et al., 2004a; Wyrtki & Kilonsky, 1984). In the equatorial band, the warm pool is characterized by nitrate-depleted and chlorophyll-low
The chlorophyll concentration within the equatorial upwelling is typically high, with values exceeding 0.1 mg m\(^{-3}\) in the surface waters. This concentration is a result of phytoplankton growth driven by nutrient-rich upwelling currents. The chlorophyll threshold of 0.1 mg m\(^{-3}\) is used as a proxy for the oligotrophic zone, where phytoplankton growth is nitrate-limited, and the mesotrophic zone, where growth is more nutrient-rich and varied, including iron availability in the eastern equatorial Pacific (Christian et al., 2004; Messié & Radenac, 2006).

**Fig. 1.** Maps of SeaWIFS chlorophyll: (a) average between September 1997 and December 2010, (b) October 2002. The gray area is the region with chlorophyll < 0.07 mg m\(^{-3}\); the 0.1 mg m\(^{-3}\) chlorophyll isoline is the limit between purple and blue areas. The 28 °C (dashed line) and 29 °C (solid line) isotherms are superimposed. Circled numbers indicate mesotrophic (1 and 2) and oligotrophic (3) equatorial ecosystems. ECF stands for east chlorophyll front and WCTZ for west chlorophyll transition zone. See Section 1 for details.

Between September 1997 and December 2010, the Sea-viewing Wide Field-of-view Sensor (SeaWIFS) enabled the investigations of the highly variable surface chlorophyll from mesoscale to global scales and from daily to interannual time scales (e.g., McClain et al., 2002, 2004b). In this paper, the occurrence of different ecosystems in the equatorial warm pool and their intraseasonal to interannual variability is examined using mainly the SeaWIFS archive completed with some Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) data. Those data sets are presented in Section 2 along with additional satellite data including wind, sea level, and SST.

**2. Data sources**

This study relies mainly on the 13 years and 4 months time series of surface chlorophyll concentrations derived from SeaWIFS measurements (September 1997–December 2010) in the tropical Pacific region. We use 9 km, 8-day composites computed by the Ocean Biology Processing Group at the NASA Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC) (McClain et al., 2004b). Starting in 2008, SeaWIFS had several interruptions of data acquisition. Chlorophyll time series were completed by replacing some SeaWIFS maps by Aqua MODIS maps, available since July 2002. MODIS maps were used in two cases: when a SeaWIFS 8-day map was not available and when a SeaWIFS tropical Pacific map with less than 50% of available data had less available...
data than the corresponding MODIS map. As a result, 98 (i.e. 16% of the time) SeaWiFS maps were replaced by MODIS maps. Then, at each location, chlorophyll values higher than five standard deviations away from the 1997 to 2010 mean were treated as missing (Messié & Radenac, 2006). Note that mixing SeaWiFS and MODIS data is not an issue for such a qualitative study because the chlorophyll range is low in the western equatorial Pacific. MODIS chlorophyll tends to be slightly higher than SeaWiFS values (not shown). On average in the tropical Pacific, the difference between SeaWiFS and MODIS chlorophyll is ~0.003 m−3 for SeaWiFS values below 0.07 mg m−3.

SST is derived from the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) Microwave Imager (TMI) starting in December 1997. Weekly maps with a 0.25° × 0.25° grid were downloaded from the Remote Sensing Systems (RSS) web site. In the tropical Pacific, TMI SST does not show a significant warm bias relative to infrared SST in open waters (O’Carroll et al., 2006; Reynolds et al., 2010). The land mask was extended in this study because land contamination may induce a warm bias near the coasts. Wind speed and wind stress data were retrieved from the Active Microwave Instrument (AMI)-Wind onboard the ERS-2 satellite and from the SeaWinds scatterometer onboard QuikSCAT. Both wind products are weekly maps delivered by the Center for Satellite Exploitation and Research (CERSAT), IFREMER, on a 1° × 1° grid until 15 January 2001 for ERS-2 and on a 0.5° × 0.5° grid between August 1999 and November 2009 for QuikSCAT. Five-day near surface currents are from the Ocean Surface Current Analysis — Real time (OSCAR) 1° × 1° product for which the geostrophic, wind-driven, and thermal-wind components were derived from satellite data (Boner & Lagerloef, 2002). Weekly maps of sea level were produced on a 1/3° × 1/3° grid by Ssalto/Duacs multimission processing system and distributed by Archiving, Validation and Interpretation of Satellite Oceanographic data (AVISO).

Since 2000, Argo float measurements allow the description and analysis of the temperature and salinity subsurface distributions at temporal and spatial scales that could not be reached with the sparse conductivity–temperature–depth (CTD) data. Those temperature and salinity profiles represent the core of the processing of barrier layer thickness in the equatorial Pacific (Bosc et al., 2009). CTD data have been used, mainly between 2000 and 2002, to fill gaps in the Argo data field. Criteria used to define the isothermal layer depth and mixed layer depth at each profile are a temperature change of 0.2 °C from the surface value and a density threshold corresponding to the same temperature step (de Boyer Montégut et al., 2004). The 2000–2007 data set of SST, sea surface salinity (SSS), and barrier layer thickness used in Bosc et al. (2009) has been updated for this study by calculating additional data for the 2008–2010 time period with the same Argo data processing and computation of the barrier layer thickness. Argo profiles were collected from the Coriolis operational oceanography service. The websites used to download the satellite and Argo data are listed in the “Acknowledgments” section.

3. Results

3.1. Variability in the equatorial warm pool

Previous studies focused on the eastern edge of the warm pool because its large zonal migrations, typical vertical thermo-haline structure, and strong air–sea interactions are key factors for the development of ENSO and for the world climate (Picaut et al., 2001). This limit is characterized by a strong SSS front well marked by surface isohaline around 34.7 (Fig. 2a; Maes et al., 2004; Bosc et al., 2009), and develops as a result of convergence of saline waters from the central Pacific and low salinity waters from the western Pacific (Bosc et al., 2009; Maes et al., 2004; Picaut et al., 1996, 2001). Model and observational studies showed that the biological front between the oligotrophic warm pool and mesotrophic cold tongue follows interannual zonal displacements of the SSS front (Radenac et al., 2001; Stoens et al., 1999). During the 2000–2010 period (availability of Argo SSS data), the eastern limit of the oligotrophic zone characterized by the 0.1 mg m−3 surface chlorophyll isoline closely follows the eastern edge of the warm pool defined by the 34.7 surface isohaline (correlation coefficient 0.93). Both move in phase with the Southern Oscillation Index (SOI; Fig. 2a). During that period of time, the most evident displacements are the 10° to 30° zonal shifts associated with the warm (El Niño in 2002–2003, 2004–2005, 2006–2007, and 2009–2010) and cold (La Niña in 2000, 2001, 2007, 2008, and 2010) phases of the El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO). The four El Niño events during that period of time are Central Pacific El Niño events characterized by chlorophyll anomalies that do not extend over the central and eastern basin but are localized in the western Pacific (Gierach et al., 2012; Radenac et al., 2012; Turk et al., 2011).

Maes et al. (2006) and Bosc et al. (2009) have shown that the warmest waters, largest dynamic height anomalies, thickest barrier layers, and low winds prevailed in the eastern part of the warm pool. Integrating ocean color and other space-borne observations with the extended barrier layer data set allows a better characterization of this region and its variability. SST warmer than 30 °C (Fig. 2e), sea level higher than 110 cm (Fig. 2c), and barrier layer thicker than 30 m (Fig. 2d) (Bosc et al., 2009; Maes et al., 2006) are enclosed in the region with surface chlorophyll lower than 0.1 mg m−3 (Fig. 2a) within 10° to 30° west of the eastern edge of the warm pool. The mean zonal wind speed is low because winds change from easterly to westerly in that region and the strongest wind speeds are observed during recurring westerly wind events (Fig. 2b). Located in the eastern part of the moving equatorial warm pool, the oligotrophic zone undergoes zonal displacements in phase with ENSO (Fig. 2a). The westward extension of the oligotrophic zone is often constrained by mesotrophic waters originating from the western basin (Figs. 1b, 2a). Their occurrence (Fig. 2a) coincides with westerly winds (Fig. 2b) and their eastern limit, characterized by the 0.1 mg m−3 surface chlorophyll isoline, marks the western boundary of the region with high SST, elevated sea level, and thick barrier layer (Fig. 2).

The zonal distribution of surface chlorophyll indicates that two ecosystems can coexist in the equatorial warm pool: oligotrophic conditions at the eastern edge and moderate mesotrophic conditions in the western part. These ecosystems are subject to zonal displacements. Herein, the oligotrophic zone is defined as the region between 2°S and 2°N enclosed by the 0.1 mg m−3 surface chlorophyll isoline that defines the positions of the east chlorophyll front (ECF) and of the west chlorophyll transition zone (WCTZ).

3.2. The west chlorophyll transition zone

The WCTZ has not been documented so far to our knowledge. This section underlines some characteristics of the WCTZ and compares them to the better known ECF. Differences in zonal surface currents at each boundary are illustrated by frequency histograms and a longitude-time diagram (Fig. 3). While westward and eastward surface currents alternate at the ECF and their fluctuations result in an almost continuous convergent zonal flow (Picaut et al., 1996), the WCTZ is situated in the region where westerly winds are more frequent and stronger (Fig. 2b) resulting in frequent eastward surface flow (Fig. 3; the median value is 0.29 m s−1). No convergence of oligotrophic and mesotrophic water masses is observed at the WCTZ. As a consequence, the WCTZ is less well defined than the ECF in terms of chlorophyll gradient. The average magnitude of the zonal chlorophyll gradient along the WCTZ during the September 1997–December 2010 time period is about -0.013 mg m−3 per degree longitude, the absolute value of which is smaller than the average chlorophyll gradient along the ECF (0.017 mg m−3 per degree).

Zonal displacements of the WCTZ are confined between 140°E and 165°E in 1998–2010 (Fig. 2a) while the ECF can reach 160°W, and
Fig. 2. Longitude-time diagrams of (a) surface chlorophyll, (b) zonal wind speed, (c) sea level, (d) barrier layer thickness, and (e) SST averaged in the 2°S–2°N latitudinal band. In (a), the white line is the 34.7 isohaline; the black line is the Southern Oscillation Index (note the reversed scale on the upper axis); the gray area is the region with chlorophyll < 0.07 mg m\(^{-3}\); the 0.1 mg m\(^{-3}\) chlorophyll isoline is the limit between purple and blue areas. The 0.1 mg m\(^{-3}\) chlorophyll is superimposed in (b), (c), (d), and (e). Note that only sea level higher than 100 cm, barrier layer thicker than 15 m, and SST warmer than 28 °C are represented in (c), (d), and (e).
even 130°W during the peak of the 1997–1998 El Niño event (Radenac et al., 2001). Eastward shifts are related to westerly winds (Fig. 2b) and eastward surface current (Fig. 3). A wavelet analysis (Torrence & Compo, 1998) of the longitudinal positions of the WCTZ and ECF was performed to identify the dominant time scales of their zonal displacements (Fig. 4). Migrations of both limits occur mainly at the interannual time scale, noting that the observed biennial variability reflects the recurrence of El Niño events in 2002, 2004, and 2006 (Fig. 4c, d). At the interannual time scale, their positions co-vary with the SOI (Fig. 2a). Easternmost positions are observed during El Niño years (1997, 2002, 2004, 2006, and 2009) and both limits move westward during La Niña years (1998–2000, 2007–2008, and 2010). In 1998–2010, the ECF moved by about 25–30° around a mean position at 144°E while the mean position of the WCTZ was close to 144°E and its easternmost positions ranged between 150°E and 165°E. The annual harmonic of the ECF position (the amplitude is 7.6°; not shown) is maximum (easternmost position) in October and minimum (westernmost position) in April in phase with the South Equatorial Current (SEC).

Fig. 3. Left panel: frequency histogram of the zonal surface current (m s\(^{-1}\)) along the eastern chlorophyll front (hollow bars) and the west chlorophyll transition zone (filled bars) between September 1997 and December 2010. Right panel: longitude-time diagrams of zonal current speed averaged in the 2°S–2°N band. The chlorophyll west and east limits are represented by the 0.1 mg m\(^{-3}\) surface chlorophyll isoline (black contours).

Fig. 4. Wavelet analyses for the locations of the chlorophyll west and east limits: (a) time series of the positions of the limits; (b) global wavelet spectrum (GWS); (c) wavelet power spectrum of the west limit positions normalized by the variance of the time series for comparison purposes; (d) same as (c) for the east front positions. Thick line represents the chlorophyll west limit and thin line the east limit in (a) and (b). Shaded contours in (c) and (d) represent 0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10, and 20 times the normalized variance. Dashed line in (c) and (d) is the cone of influence outside of which edge effects are strong.
cycle (Messié & Radenac, 2006). This seasonal displacement is captured in the secondary power peak in the 300–400 day band in the ECF spectrum that does not appear in the WCTZ spectrum (Fig. 4c, d). Also in contrast to the ECF, variance at intraseasonal time scales is observed for the WCTZ location time series (Fig. 4b). Peaks of variance at period between 40 and 60 days appear in the WCTZ wavelet power spectrum in 2002, 2004, 2009, and to a lesser extent in 2006 (Fig. 4c) while peaks of energy also appear in the wavelet power spectrum of the zonal wind speed averaged in the 140°E–145°E, 2°S–2°N region during the same years (Fig. 5). This is consistent with stronger intraseasonal

![Figure 5](image_url)

Fig. 5. Wavelet analysis of the zonal wind speed in the 140°E–145°E, 2°S–2°N region: (a) global wavelet spectrum (GWS; dashed line); the GWS for the locations of the west (thin line) and east (thick line) chlorophyll limits are superimposed; (b) wavelet power spectrum normalized by the variance of the time series. Shaded contours represent 0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10, and 20 times the normalized variance. The dashed line in (b) is the cone of influence outside of which edge effects are strong. Note that the wavelet spectrum is calculated over the period of availability of QuikSCAT wind data (August 1999–November 2009); to avoid possible artifacts by using different wind products, ERS data were not used for this figure.

![Figure 6](image_url)

Fig. 6. Time-series of the (a) surface chlorophyll averaged in the 140°E–145°E, 2°S–2°N region; (b) zonal surface current averaged in the 150°E–165°E, 2°S–2°N region; (c) longitudes of the west chlorophyll transition zone (WCTZ). Thick horizontal lines indicate periods of time when (a) surface chlorophyll is higher than 0.1 mg m\(^{-3}\), (b) surface current is eastward, and (c) surface chlorophyll is higher than 0.1 mg m\(^{-3}\) and surface current is eastward.
wind activity during El Niño years (Harrison & Vecchi, 1997) that may have a more significant impact on the position of the WCTZ than on the ECF location.

Chlorophyll increases in the western basin (Fig. 2a) and eastward equatorial surface currents (Fig. 3b) associated with westerly wind events (Fig. 2b) suggest that advection of nutrient- and phytoplankton-rich waters could be a process driving the eastward displacement of the WCTZ. Fig. 6 shows time series of the surface chlorophyll in the equatorial band north of the New Guinea Island (140°E–145°E, 2°S–2°N), the surface current in the region of WCTZ variations (150°E–165°E, 2°S–2°N), and the longitude of the WCTZ. The main episodes with mesotrophic waters in the western region and eastward surface current in the eastern region occurred during El Niño events (in 1997–1998, between mid-2001 and mid-2007, in 2009–2010) and coincided with eastward displacements of the WCTZ (Fig. 6c). A sense of the longitudinal extent of the transport of the phytoplankton-rich waters may be obtained from a scaling analysis. At surface velocities of 0.4 to 0.7 m s−1 (Fig. 6b), it takes two to six weeks for a water mass to travel 10°–15° eastward. If the initial chlorophyll concentration is 0.15 mg m−3 (Figs. 1b, 6a), the 0.07 mg m−3 value characteristic of oligotrophic waters would be reached after 2–6 weeks if the chlorophyll loss rate was in the 0.02–0.05 d−1 range, comparable to chlorophyll loss rates used by Christian et al. (2004) to explain part of the extension of a chlorophyll bloom in the western NECC. No nutrient input from depth is expected during the eastward displacement of the water mass because of equatorial downwelling driven by the westerly winds. Some additional nutrients or phytoplankton biomass may come from the Solomon Strait or the north Solomon coast, as seen in Fig. 1b where the chlorophyll decrease is not completely monotonic traveling from the north of New Guinea eastward. Yet, this nutrient and phytoplankton supply is not sufficient for a sustained biological production and the phytoplankton losses exceed phytoplankton growth during the eastward shift of the water mass. As a result, the chlorophyll concentration of the advected surface water is about the background value (around 0.07 mg m−3) when it reaches the eastern part of the warm pool. Advection of mesotrophic waters from the west during periods of recurring westerly wind events should be considered in explaining the displacements of the WCTZ that constrain the westward extension of the oligotrophic zone.

3.3. The quasi-persistent oligotrophic zone

Because of the zonal distribution of oligotrophic and moderate mesotrophic ecosystems along the equator in the warm pool, the extension of the equatorial oligotrophic waters does not depend on the location of the ECF alone. Instead, the positions of both the ECF and WCTZ must be taken into account to investigate the width of the oligotrophic zone in the eastern part of the equatorial warm pool. The mean width of the oligotrophic region (21 ± 13° of longitude) is consistent with the width of the region with warm surface water and large dynamic height anomaly (10–20° of longitude) at the eastern edge of the equatorial warm pool (Bosc et al., 2009; Maes et al., 2006). Resulting from the variable positions of the east and west limits, the oligotrophic zone is a quasi-permanent feature and its width varies between 0° and 50° longitude, except during the strong 1997–1998 El Niño when it reached 90° (Fig. 7a). Its variability is mainly driven by the variability of the ECF position and its wavelet spectrum (not shown) shows peaks at the interannual and annual period similar to the wavelet spectrum of the ECF positions. At the interannual scale, the oligotrophic zone is widest during El Niño (1997, 2002, 2004, 2006, and 2009) and shrinks during La Niña (1999–2000, 2007–2008, 2010). No oligotrophic zone is observed when the equatorial upwelling waters stretch to the New Guinea coast as in 1998, 2000, 2008, and 2010 (Figs. 2a, 7a). At the seasonal scale, the oligotrophic zone is narrow in boreal spring when the westward expansion of the mesotrophic waters of the cold tongue is maximum (Messié & Radenac, 2006) and widens during fall (Fig. 7a). The amplitude of the annual harmonic of the width of the oligotrophic zone is 7° and represents 18% of the variance.

Part of the equatorial oligotrophic zone is occupied by waters with surface chlorophyll below 0.07 mg m−3 (Fig. 2a), which is the value used by McClain et al. (2004a) to define very oligotrophic waters of the subtropical gyres. These authors calculated the fraction of pixels with chlorophyll lower than 0.07 mg m−3 inside the subtropical gyres. We performed the same calculation inside the equatorial oligotrophic zone (F_{\text{oligo}}) which gives an indication of the degree of oligotrophy of the zone (Fig. 7b). On average between September 1997 and December 2010, very oligotrophic waters ([chl] < 0.07 mg m−3) represent about half (55% ± 16%) of the

Table 1

Mean and standard deviation values averaged between 2°S and 2°N and from September 1997 to December 2010 in the oligotrophic zone (between the ECF and WCTZ) and in the neighboring western and eastern zones (the western zone covers 20° west of the WCTZ and the eastern zone extends over 20° east of the ECF). Note that values are calculated between December 1997 and December 2010 for TMI SST and between August 1999 and November 2009 for wind speed because of data availability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Western zone</th>
<th>Oligotrophic zone</th>
<th>Eastern zone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>chl (mg m−3)</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SST (°C)</td>
<td>29.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>30.1 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altimetric sea level (cm)</td>
<td>106 ± 7</td>
<td>111 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrier layer thickness (m)</td>
<td>14 ± 8</td>
<td>22 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zonal wind speed (m s−1)</td>
<td>0.81 ± 3.0</td>
<td>−0.86 ± 2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zonal surface current (m s−1)</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.27</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
surface of the oligotrophic \([\text{chl}] < 0.1 \text{ mg m}^{-3}\) equatorial warm pool. Those very oligotrophic waters are located in the eastern part of the oligotrophic zone, neighboring mesotrophic waters of the equatorial divergence, especially when the oligotrophic zone is wide (Fig. 2a). The wavelet power of \(F_{\text{Foligo}}\) is strong at the seasonal and interannual time scales (not shown) similar to the wavelet power of the ECF and of the width of the oligotrophic zone. The region tends to be very oligotrophic when its surface expansion is maximum at the seasonal and interannual scales (Fig. 7a, b). This is further illustrated by the relationship between \(F_{\text{Foligo}}\) and the width of the oligotrophic zone (Fig. 7c). Both the degree of oligotrophy and the zone width are high during the four Central Pacific El Niño events. The oligotrophic zone is widest during the strong 1997–1998 El Niño event (more than 60°, Fig. 7a) when the \(F_{\text{Foligo}}\) levels are of around 60% (Fig. 7c). The overall relationship is close to a logarithm fit (\(F_{\text{Foligo}} = 0.14 \ln (\text{width}) + 0.44\)) with a correlation coefficient of 0.53. Note however that most of the oligotrophic zone widths range between 10° and 30° and that the largest widths only represent a few data points at the beginning of the time series at the end of the 1997–1998 El Niño event; the following events have lesser magnitudes. This result needs to be confirmed with longer satellite time series or simulations.

Averages and standard deviations of chlorophyll, SST, sea level, zonal wind speed, and zonal surface current were calculated in the moving oligotrophic zone and surrounding regions (Table 1). The statistical characteristics of the oligotrophic zone are consistent with those of the region with thick barrier layer west of the salinity front (Bosc et al., 2009; Maes et al., 2004, 2006) as both are located in the east part of the equatorial warm pool. Winds are easterlies in the eastern zone. In the oligotrophic and western zones, the wind speed is low with a high variability. The zonal wind distribution results in a westward mean surface current (about \(-0.2 \text{ m s}^{-1}\)) in the eastern zone while it is eastward (about \(0.3 \text{ m s}^{-1}\)) in the western zone. The mean zonal current is weakest (about \(0.1 \text{ m s}^{-1}\)) in the oligotrophic zone. Although the standard deviations of the zonal current are high, an eastward zonal current in the warm pool and a westward zonal current in the western part of the cold tongue are consistent with the previous description of the annual average of surface equatorial current (Reverdin et al., 1994) and with Maes et al. (2004) zonal current estimates on each side of the eastern edge of the warm pool. In agreement with maximum dynamic heights in the eastern part of the equatorial warm pool (Bosc et al., 2009), the sea level is on average higher in the oligotrophic zone (111 cm) than in the western (106 cm) and eastern (108 cm) zones. This is the consequence of zonal convergence between western and central Pacific waters conveyed by recurrent eastward equatorial jets and the South Equatorial Current (Picaut et al., 1996, 2001; Vialard & Delecluse, 1998) and indicates a deeper nutrient pool in the oligotrophic zone than in the western zone. On average, SST is above 29 °C in the three zones. The oligotrophic zone emerges as the region with the warmest SST (30.1 °C vs. 29.8 °C in the west and 29.1 °C in the east) that barrier layer thicker than 20 m may help to maintain (Ando & McPhaden, 1997; Bosc et al., 2009). Note that the phytoplankton concentration in the mixed layer impacts the heat budget (Lewis et al., 1990; McClain et al., 2002; Siegel et al., 1995) and that low chlorophyll content such as in the oligotrophic zone does not favor high SST in the mixed layer.

4. Discussion and conclusion

A zone of very oligotrophic surface waters is highlighted in the eastern part of the equatorial western Pacific warm pool using satellite-derived chlorophyll data. It is bounded by mesotrophic waters from the equatorial upwelling in the east and from the western basin in the west. Ocean color satellite data further shows its quasi-persistent characteristic and allows investigating its variability. In the following, we synthesize mechanisms possibly responsible for the persistent oligotrophic zone in the light of our study and previous ones, highlight the new contribution of ocean color data to the study of the variability of the ecosystems of the equatorial warm pool, and underline the need for longer time series.

4.1. Validity of the satellite chlorophyll signal

In the western basin, the strong annual sediment discharge of the Mamberamo and Sepik Rivers on the northern coast of the New Guinea Island (Milliman et al., 1999) could affect the satellite-derived chlorophyll estimates that apply in open ocean waters (McClain et al., 2004b). However, published in situ observations show the occurrence of mesotrophic equatorial water masses west of the very oligotrophic waters. Among them, observations of higher surface chlorophyll during the wet northwest monsoon than during the dry trade wind season and associated changes of the phytoplankton community structure (Higgins et al., 2006) are consistent with the satellite-derived chlorophyll time series (Fig. 2a). Likewise, the increase of the mean volume backscattering strength (\(S_v\); a proxy for zooplankton and microzonkton biomass and/or composition) derived from acoustic Doppler current profilers (ADCP) observed at the 165°E equatorial mooring during the peak period of the 2002 El Niño event suggests that a water mass with mesotrophic properties was advected from the west and replaced oligotrophic waters around the mooring site (Radenac et al., 2010). Finally, satellite-derived chlorophyll distribution and concentrations are consistent with the Japanese and US cruise measurements along the equator (Bonnet et al., 2009; Matsumoto & Ando, 2009; Matsumoto et al., 2004). Therefore, we used the equatorial chlorophyll values derived from the OC4 SeaWiFS processing (McClain et al., 2004b), acknowledging that signals resulting from suspended matter and from phytoplankton pigment may sometimes superimpose. Note that we applied the 0.1 mg m\(^{-3}\) chlorophyll threshold usually used to detect the ECF to monitor the WCTZ.

4.2. Why is the eastern part of the equatorial warm pool oligotrophic?

The persistence of the very oligotrophic zone implies that no nutrient-rich waters penetrate into the eastern part of the equatorial warm pool through horizontal or vertical processes. As chlorophyll concentrations are close to those of the north and south subtropical gyres (Fig. 1), equatorward advection of nutrient-poor waters could be expected. However, meridional transport from the subtropical gyres appears as a secondary mechanism at the seasonal time scale (Messié & Radenac, 2006) or during El Niño events (Gierach et al., 2012). Also, the contrast between chlorophyll concentrations of the very oligotrophic zone and those of the eastward and westward meiotropic waters suggests that, despite an intense zonal circulation, no nutrient-rich waters originating from the equatorial upwelling or from the western warm pool reach the oligotrophic zone. The warm and low-salinity water of the warm pool encounters the cold and salty water of the central equatorial Pacific at the ECF. The strong downwelling that develops on the eastern side of the front (Lukas & Lindstrom, 1991; Vialard & Delecluse, 1998) drives the dense nitrate-rich water of the central Pacific below the light nitrate-poor surface water of the warm pool. As a consequence, the ECF is an efficient separation between surface waters of the equatorial upwelling zone and of the warm pool. At the WCTZ, the transition from concentrations typical of the moderate mesotrophic waters of the western basin to oligotrophic values is smoother than at the ECF. Such a weak zonal gradient is consistent with eastward advection of moderate mesotrophic waters from the west (Fig. 6) where the source of mesotrophic water is the Indonesian coast and the upwelling that develops north of New Guinea during the northwest monsoon and westerly wind events (Hasegawa et al., 2009, 2010, 2011; Kuroda, 2000; Lukas, 1988; Lukas & Lindstrom, 1991; Ueki et al., 2003) (Fig. 1b). As there is almost no nutrient supply along the water mass travel, the phytoplankton biomass gradually decreases toward
oligotrophic values while the water mass merges with oligotrophic waters of the eastern part of the warm pool. Therefore, the oligotrophic zone remains distant from the mesotrophic water sources of the far western basin.

Highest sea level and barrier layer thickness confined in the very oligotrophic zone (Fig. 2) give indications on vertical processes unfavorable to nitrate supply toward the euphotic layer. More elevated sea levels in the oligotrophic zone (Fig. 2c) indicate that the nitrate pool is deeper than westward because of the zonal convergence of water masses. This is consistent with measurements from several trans-equatorial cruises indicating that the nitrate is 80 to 120 m deep in the eastern part of the warm pool and 20 to 40 m shallower westward (Bonnet et al., 2009; Matsumoto & Ando, 2009; Matsumoto et al., 2004; Stoens et al., 1999). Thick barrier layers, as those observed in the very oligotrophic zone (Fig. 2d), have been associated with very high SST, warmer than 29 °C (Bosc et al., 2009), as it disconnects the surface layer from the thermocline and prevents entrainment cooling into the mixed layer from below (Maes et al., 2002; Vialard & Delecluse, 1998). A similar mechanism could potentially prevent vertical nutrient input (Eldin et al., 1997; Le Borgne et al., 2002; Mackey et al., 1995, 1997; Murtugudde et al., 1999; Radenac & Rodier, 1996; Turk et al., 2001b). The deep chlorophyll maximum is often located near the nitratecline depth where the static stability is strongest (Radenac & Rodier, 1996; Le Borgne et al., 2002, their Fig. 10), suggesting that the salinity stratification may influence the nutrient vertical distribution. Nevertheless, deep chlorophyll maxima (that develop where the compromise between light and nutrient availability is such that vertical nutrient inputs balance nutrient consumption) are commonly observed in oligotrophic waters of the tropical Atlantic Ocean and at the ALOHA station (Herbland & Voituriez, 1979; Letelier et al., 1996) even though barrier layers are not typical features in these regions (de Boyer Montégut et al., 2007). So, although coincidences of low surface chlorophyll and thick barrier layer have often been mentioned, the role of the barrier layer (e.g., the influence of the salinity stratification magnitude and of the depth of its upper limit) in biological production has not been clearly established. The relative contributions of deep nitrate pool and thick barrier layer on restraining nitrate supply toward the euphotic layer remain to be determined.

4.3. Concluding remarks

The mechanisms described above need to be further investigated using coupled physical–biological models, especially how the barrier layer may constrain, or not constrain, vertical nutrient supply. Our study suggests that the degree of oligotrophy in the eastern part of the warm pool rises during El Niño events (Fig. 7) while increases of chlorophyll and biological production are generally described during El Niño years (Blanchet et al., 1992; Leonard & McClain, 1996; Mackey et al., 1997; Turk et al., 2001a; Wilson & Adamec, 2001) although a slight decrease of chlorophyll concentration has been observed in the equatorial western Pacific during the 1982–1983 (Dandonneau, 1992) and 1986–1987 (Radenac & Rodier, 1996) El Niño episodes. Also, Turk et al. (2001b) called attention to differences of the new production rate between El Niño events. The discrepancy between this study and previous ones is not contradictory and may be related to the eastward shift of the warm pool. During El Niño events, chlorophyll increases west of the WCTZ and the very oligotrophic zone is wider and displaced eastward. Most of the cruises sampled only one of these ecosystems and do not reflect the heterogeneity of this region. Satellite data allow a synoptic view of the equatorial warm pool but studies are limited because of the availability of ocean color data. The SeaWiFS years include five El Niño events, four of which are El Niño Modoki or Central Pacific El Niño (Ashok et al., 2007; Kao & Yu, 2009) and one is the strong 1997–1998 Eastern Pacific El Niño. During Central Pacific El Niño, westerly winds are confined in the western basin (Kug et al., 2009), favoring the development of an upwelling north of New Guinea and eastward advection of mesotrophic waters in the equatorial zone. During strong El Niño episodes such as the 1997–1998 event, westerly wind anomalies are located in the central basin and easterly anomalies may be observed in the western basin (Murtugudde et al., 1999). In that case, the oligotrophic zone extends from the central basin to the Indonesian coast (Fig. 2a). More observations and understanding of processes in the western Pacific are needed in the context of such strong events.
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